

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,374	10/779,374 02/13/2004		Randy Higashi	70467-010101	9009
33717	7590	02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
		AURIG LLP	TRETTEL, MICHAEL		
SANTA M		AVENUE, SUITE 400 CA 90404	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3673	·
				DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-	10/779,374	HIGASHI ET AL.				
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Trettel	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 9-18 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a point documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/5/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. In this particular case, the reference to Application number 10/680,699 is clearly incorrect, it appears that this application is a CIP of 10/680,669.

Specification

The use of the trademark Velcro has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Double Patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/779,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 5 and 10 to 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,634,041. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are nearly identical duplicates of the '041 claims, with the exception of that in the new independent claims 1 and 11 (corresponding to old independent claims 1 and 10) the clause setting forth the carrying strap has been removed and set forth in new dependent claims 4 and 12, respectively. This is within the ordinary level of skill in the art, since it is analogous to removing a component along with its function.

Claim Objections

In line 1 of claims 13 and 14 -- of-- should be inserted after "said pocket" for clarity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 3 of claim 7 the phrase "a pocket formed with on the towel" is indefinite, since as written the phrase is incomplete. It is unclear what the "with" is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7, 8, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sullivan (US 5,618,110). Sullivan shows a combination beach towel/tote bag assembly that comprises a rectangular terrycloth panel 12 that has a pocket panel 16 attached to one corner of the panel. A complementary panel 40 is attached in opposed relationship to panel 16, with an opening being formed by a circular channel 20 adjacent the mouth of the pocket. A drawstring 22 extends through the channel 20 to form a closure for the pocket. As shown in Figures 2 to 4, the towel can be folded and rolled up into a compact configuration adjacent to the pocket, and the pocket can be inverted over the towel to enclose the same. The drawstring 22 can be used to pull shut the mouth of the pocket if so desired. The interior of the pocket forms a lining with decorative features 40b, note that the corners of the pocket assume a rounded configuration when the pocket is inverted.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,374

Art Unit: 3673

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 to 18 and 21 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Trettel whose telephone number is 703-308-0416. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, or Friday from 7.30 am to

5.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Michael Trettel **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3673